

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,648	MCCALLUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kara E Geisel	2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kara E Geisel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Edward Berkowitz, attorney of record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:


3

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An amendment to claim 3 to change its dependency. See Office Action.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)